

REMARKS

Applicant has reviewed the Office Action dated April 1, 2008.

The Examiner objected to claims 10, 24-29, 42-44, 47-55- and 59 because of various informalities. Applicant has amended the claims to correct these informalities as pointed out by the Examiner.

The Examiner rejected claims 24-29 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph as being indefinite. Specifically, the Examiner pointed out that two claim elements were referred to as “a complex summing circuit.” Applicant has amended claim 24 to clarify that there are two complex summing circuits called out in the claim. Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

Claims 9 and 50 were rejected under 102(a) based on May et al. Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection. However, to expedite issuance of this reissue application, Applicant has cancelled these claims. Applicant reserves the right to file a continuation Reissue to further pursue these claims.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the indication of allowable subject matter in claims 2-4, 6-8, 10, 14, 19-20, 24-29, 42-44, 47-49, 51-55, 58, 59, and 61-64. In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Assignee respectfully requests reconsideration and reexamination of this application and the timely allowance of the pending claims.

Please grant any extensions of time required to enter this response and charge any additional required fees to our deposit account 502432.

Respectfully submitted,

/David N. Fogg/

Dated: July 1, 2008

By: _____

David N. Fogg
Reg. No. 35138

Attorneys for Applicant
Fogg & Powers LLC
P.O. Box 581339
Minneapolis, MN 55458-1339
T – (612) 332-4720
F – (612) 332-4731